McGUIREWOODS CONSULTING



Statutory Frameworks for Public Private Partnerships

Virginia's PPCA, RC SB 822, and Lessons Learned

North Carolina Legislative Study Committee on PPP

> Christopher B. Lloyd December 15. 2010

Presentation Overview

- · What is a Public Private Partnership?
- · Origins of the Virginia PPCA
- · Proposed AC Legislation SB 822
- · Lessons Learned and Challenges

What is a Public Private Partnership?

· What is it?

- An alternative procurement tool that allows public entities to more efficiently develop infrastructure and achieve better value for the taxpayer
- Vehicle to use design-build contracting practices
- Leverage private sector expertise to meet public goals
- Opportunity to foster innovative financing

· What it is NOT?

- ~ A panacea that resolves all procurement issues
- A way to get something for nothing
- Privatization

Benefits of PPP Delivery

- · Faster delivery of needed infrastructure projects
- · Potential cost savings
- · Greater private sector involvement in scope development
- · Market based project development
- · More local control over project development
- Private sector participation permits use of financing vehicles that l) have no value to the public sector and/or 2) reduces the need for government debt
- · Allows risk transfer to the private sector
- · Public disclosure requirements provides for a more open procurement process



Why Pass a PPP Statute?

- · Creates a consistent, predictable, and repeatable path for innovative project development
- · Demonstrates legislative commitment to the process
- · Ensures greater accountability and transparency
- · Increases developer interest

Virginia's History with PPP

- · PPTA passed in 1995
- · PPEA passed in 2002
- · Over 50 jurisdictions have adopted PPCA guidelines
- · Over 100 projects completed or under review
- · Project size of \$1 million to \$2 billion
- · Mostly used in small/medium jurisdictions, for infrequent projects, or repeatable projects
- · Most agree that time savings and risk shift are biggest benefits
- · Most projects have been publicly funded



Virginia PPCA Statute

- · Process governed by enabling act and locally adopted guidelines
- · Statute outlines governmental review powers, contractual issues, and public involvement
- · Guidelines address proposal preparation, project specific requirements



Virginia PPEA Process Overview

- · Public entity solicits for proposals or accepts unsolicited proposals
- · Minimum of 45 day open competition period for unsolicited proposals
- · FOIA protections for confidential information are negotiated
- · Conceptual proposal outlines team qualifications, proposed scope, proposed scope and public benefits
- · Public entity may levy a proposal review fee on both solicited and unsolicited proposals
- · Proposal review fee is used to cover procurement costs



Virginia PPEA Process Overview

- All competing proposals are reviewed, followed by a downselect
- Detailed proposals are requested often relies on recommendations of outside/inside advisors
- · Detailed proposals start to lock in project scope, costs, schedule
- · Leads to an interim or comprehensive agreement with one firm
- · Significant requirements for public notification and hearings

How Does SB 822 Differ from VA PPCA?

- · Allows for local and state government to solicit proposals for project development and/or operation -- no URSOLICITED PROPOSALS
- · Po legislative review panel for state projects
- · Maintains current state laws on retainage
- · Requires payment and performance bonds per existing law
- · Requires governing body to set minority/small business participation requirements
- · Eminent domain power retained by government no new powers

Assues to Consider

- · Should minority contracting goal be mandated?
- · Role of State Construction Office/Department of Insurance
- · Unsolicited proposals
- · Stipend for unsuccessful bidders
- · How do we make it more "green" friendly?
- · Should there be a minimum/maximum project size?
- · What is the role of the Local Government Commission?
- · Remove restrictions on a qualifications based selection



Lessons Learned

- · Overly prescriptive solicitations often fail
- · In-state contractors are often the biggest winners
- · Governmental financial participation is essential
- · Every project requires an internal champion
- · No company dominates the field
- · Developing standardized agreements and contracts significantly improves review timetable



Challenges

- · Ensuring that PPCA meets federal competition requirements (when federal money is involved)
- · Addressing concerns of smaller contractors
- · Overcoming baggage of previous procurement "reforms"
- · Taking PPEA beyond the incorrect perception that it is a financing tool

THE END

Business Expansion | Federal Public Affairs | Strategic Communications & Grassroots Mobilization State & Local Government Affairs | Emerging European Markets

Atlanta • Charlotte • Charlottesville • Chicago • Columbia • Norfolk • Kaleigh Springfield • Tyson's Corner • Washington, D.C. | Bucharest, Komania

www.mcguirewoodsconsulting.com